

To. Snr C/S Int
via: FSC EU
From: Robert Dam, OT V NOTs pre-OT

Copenhagen 9th September 2003

Dear Sir,

Recently I was approached by the FSC EU in relation to continuing up the Bridge, OT VI and onto OT VII, solo NOTs.

I have been working for years on financing these levels and I am now at a point where this is possible in the very near future.

However, I have some points which I really need to get cleared with you regarding NOTs.

Through the years I have observed quite a number of OT VII's who were not doing well in life. I have seen all kind of situations, but it all boils down to my perception of a being not happy, obviously caved in, not beaming, not shining, reacting with case etc. People who were definitely not cause over their life. I am sure you have seen much more than I, and I hope therefore you can help clarifying this matter for me.

I have been trying to find parallels on the net which made some sense, not tech matters, but information from other Scientologists who had similar or opposing views (you know - a thetan is always looking for more information) and I ran across something that really made sense to me. Actually it is VERY real to me and I would really appreciate your input on this. It is called Excalibur, OT VIII. I have extracted some of the key data in the enclosed envelope. It is confidential data why I sealed it in it's own envelope. A non-confidential point is that of psychic warfare. That a being can interfere in a solo NOTs session and start reading on the meter. The solo NOTs auditor not aware of psychic warfare mistakes it for NOTs case and start running NOTs on it, which of course doesn't fly. That being can also begin auditing the solo auditors case and really mess thing up, kind of Black NOTs I suppose.

This, along with the enclosed data is to my knowledge not part of NOTs which then seems entirely incomplete. Running NOTs without knowing the true organization of the case is comparable to running Dianetics without knowing about earlier similar and basic basic. You just pick an engram here and there and leaves the rest in place.

Then I came to think of the NOTs I have done. It strikes me that the way it is written is not really typically LRH. It is too gentle, kind of, too careful and soft. Call it speculation, but thinking of OT III, "Role of Earth" RJ67 and so forth, NOTs is definitely different in it's approach. I would say it sounds more like it was written by a tech terminal, you know, high ARC, gentle approach. I have also heard that David Mayo is the true author of NOTs. That he in fact developed it together with Ron and also audited him on it on a ranch in California in 1978, when Ron was

seriously ill. He audited Ron to full recovery and returned to assume his hat as your predecessor, while Ron continued on solo NOTs. This makes sense to me, at least in relation to the very non-LRH-like approach of the NOTs materials.

One additional question: What happened with the old OT IV - VII?? I once read an old promo piece about these levels and it really caught my interest. Exterior on full perception, tone 40 intention and so forth. Great stuff, just like we know it from the PDC lectures, Advance Procedure & Axioms etc. From old timers I have heard stories about people who did those levels and stuff they did. Real OT stuff, great! You don't hear much like that these days. While I perfectly understand that the pre-OT's case must be handled before going to the "real OT stuff" so to say, I still like to know what happened to these levels. Are they still delivered? Could I get them after finishing OT VII like I can get the L's? In case they have been removed entirely from the Grade Chart there must be an LRH reference about that, or!?

I hope I don't come across as critical as that is definitely not my position. I rather need clarification and I believe you are the right terminal to go to on such matters. I hope I am right and that you won't consider this communication off line.

I look forward to hearing back from you. Meanwhile I remain,

Yours sincerely

Robert Dam